

# HELEN ABRAMS

Grosser Kamp 18  
49328 Melle  
Phone: 05226 – 581 9846  
Fax: 05226 – 583 9846  
Mobile: 0175 2828 958

---

Helen Abrams \* Grosser Kamp 18 \* 49328 Melle

The Federal President Office  
Spreeweg 1  
10557 Berlin  
Phone: +49 (0) 30 2000-0  
Fax: +49 (0) 30 1810200-1999  
E-Mail: [bundespraesidialamt@bpra.bund.de](mailto:bundespraesidialamt@bpra.bund.de)

**F a x i n a d v a n c e !**

**Attn Federal President Frank-Walter Steinmeier**

Your Characters

My Characters

Date

19.06.2019

**Blatant case of anti-Semitism with a Jewish teacher in 2019 - request to intervene**

Dear Mr. Federal President, Steinmeier,

In your speech at the Heidelberg University of Jewish studies yesterday , you spoke about the fact that

***"The state and its institutions have a duty to protect Jewish life."***

I would like to refer to this and describe to you **a case of acute anti-Semitism**, a scandal that seeks its equal.

It is about a Jewish teacher of physics and mathematics, successful and in the middle of her professional life, loved by the children she teaches, respected by their parents and her colleagues. And we're talking about her kidnapped son, Adrian.

No, we are not talking about the Times of the Third Reich 1933-1945, but about the Federal Republic of Germany in 2019!

The parallels between the continuously increasing inhumanity to which mother and child have been subjected for almost 10 years and the reprisals against the Jews in the so-called Third Reich are frightening.

The bluntly anti-Semitic decrees of the youth welfare officer E. against these two, has meanwhile assumed such disinhibited and downright grotesque features that the German friendly environment is ashamed in the ground..

Here's a small overview:

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Today</b> <b>Mother and her son Adrian</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>The Laws Of The Reich</b> <b>(1933-1945)</b></p>
<p>According to the youth welfare Department, the mother does not have the qualities as a teacher, although she has been successfully teaching in schools of North Rhine-Westphalia for over 20 years. If it were up to the Youth Welfare Department, the mother should be dismissed from the service. On the agenda there are questions like "what should be the claims (alleged) with her teacher's profession" and so on (see also the case dated 27.04.19 UB m.)</p>	<p>07.04.1933 "Non-Aryan" employees will be dismissed. 30.09.1935 All Jewish employees must be dismissed.</p>
<p>According to the warning of the the Youth Welfare Department, after the divorce from her cruel (confirmed by the 3rd instance) German husband, the mother should not have taken her Jewish surname (maiden name) (March 2015, witness). Phone call from the head of the Youth Welfare Department E. Ms. V.: "You'll regret it." Three months later, Adrian was deprived of his mother (i.e., taken away).</p>	<p>1933-1945 The powerlessness of the Jews</p>
<p>According to the youth welfare Department, Adrian should not have a pet. Keeping his pet rabbit was forbidden to him since the moment of placement in an orphanage in December 2016. the Youth Welfare Department forbade the mother to even bring a rabbit to meetings with his son. This topic is raised by the mother at every "alternative court hearing" as there are no grounds for such a ban until today. This means, among other things: "To remind of home"</p>	<p>15.02.1942 Jews are not allowed to keep pets.</p>
<p>According to the Youth Welfare Department, a mother and her child are not allowed to emigrate (for example, to Israel), and even move to Bielefeld, which is 1 hour away (Summer 2010, Phone Call, Ms. V., Witness)</p>	<p>01.10.1941 Prohibition of Jews emigration.</p>
<p>Parcels with clothes, food, gifts, etc., sent by the mother to her child are obviously not delivered to Adrian (for example, the magician's costume for the carnival in February 2019), although according to postal documents they definitely arrived. This is stealing someone else's property.</p>	<p>26.04.1938 Jews must surrender their property. 25.11.1941 Property of the Jews is forfeited to the German Reich</p>
<p>According to the Youth Welfare Department, "the mother of the child no longer has a child" (Report on the child's condition after the first child withdrawal on June 26, 2015, Youth Welfare officer, Ms. V.)</p>	<p>1933-1945 The powerlessness of the Jews</p>
<p>According to the courts (for example DC Minden, judge E.) the child's mother does not even have the right to protection, because, as a non-native speaker must bring to court 7 (!) native speakers - there is no explanation for this - witness, the physician and confidant Ms. Limberg-Diers.</p>	<p>01.07.1943 Fundamental disenfranchisement of the Jews 1933-1945 Fundamental disenfranchisement of the Jews</p>
<p>According to the Youth Welfare Department, a mother must finance the maintenance of her child in an orphanage (in accordance with the table provided by the Youth Welfare Department, which is contrary to all laws, such as alimony). The mother's indication that she paid for expert services, court and lawyer fees and the loans taken for this (as a result of which the mother owed a lot of debt for many years) will be ignored with the words "You should not have done this, you do not need lawyers and conclusions</p>	<p>12.11.1938 and 19.10.1939 The Jews redemption 26.04.1938 Jews must surrender their property. 25.11.1941 Property of the Jews is forfeited to the German Reich Deprivation of rights</p>

This table could be further expanded to include the following items:

Adrian has not been allowed to drink milk since he was placed in an orphanage (more than 2.5 years), he is obviously suffering from malnutrition and exhaustion since the third-party accommodation (Adrian is also now practically emaciated to the bone!), repeatedly complains about the lack of staple foods and even clean drinking water - the Protocol of the "alternative court session" from March 2018: guardian Hecht confirms this.

Equivalent in Nazi legislation:

18.09.1942: Jews don't get any meat, eggs, or milk.

Another example:

prohibition of joint celebration of Jewish holidays by the mother and her child and joint adherence to Jewish traditions. Despite appeals to the court - no permission to communicate, not to mention the Jewish holidays!

The religious and cultural roots are chopped off/truncated.

Compliance with the legislation of the Nazis:

12.06.1941: Jews can only refer to themselves as "faithless."

12.12.1935: forced Germanization: For example, life outside the place of birth:: abduction of children from areas occupied by Germany. If they were considered "Aryan" in the sense of national socialists, then under the cover of their identity they were placed in houses in the place of birth in the Reich or in the occupied territories. The ultimate goal was adoption by party-loyal German families.

Another example:

For more than a year, Adrian has not been allowed to make phone calls with his beloved mother, which he keeps asking for - for no reason at all. The obvious goal of the Youth Welfare Department: no exchange of messages (with the possibility of uncovering assaults and bad conditions in the house), Isolation, alienation, disenfranchisement.

29.07.1940: Jews may no longer have a telephone connection.

The list could be continued endlessly.

**This is followed by other stations of Kafka's Odyssey of the mother with the German "values" System (YWD, supervisors, courts)**

**- Excerpts from the diary of the child's mother -**

***"At the beginning of 2010, Adrian was 9 months old.***

*Despite the frequent violent actions of the child's father against me and our son Adrian during pregnancy and Adrian in the first 5 months of life, the court ordered eviction after the last massacre on 09.10.2009 and the ban on approaching, the child's father receives communication.*

*Here I must quote an employee of the Youth Welfare Department E. (Ms. V's colleague), that communication with the child's father is a top priority.*

*I was told verbatim several times (including over the next 9 years) that the rights of fathers in Germany are more important than the rights of children.*

*As a mother, and also a Jew, I am only air for a Youth Welfare officer E.*

So, the first conversation. Adrian got sick on the eve of the move, his temperature rose, the doctor prescribed strict rest, "do not go out in the cold in double-digit minus!".

I can't get my old car on, on foot it is 3 km to L.-St.-H. (private ev. Carrier), where the accompanied contact should take place. Snowstorm, I can not pass with a stroller.

I describe the situation to the youth Department (Ms. V.), who – without listening to me completely - shouts into the phone: "**Then we will come and get the child – and after that you will never see him again.**" This phrase sounds like Ms. V. enjoys every syllable. Then for the first time it occurs to me: sadistic "Nazi behavior".

I'm terrified that my baby will be taken away, so I pack Adrian in three jackets, wrap him in extra scarves, and leave. On foot, without a stroller.

The "intermediary" Mr. B. from L.-St. H. keeps waiting himself. Adrian was just falling asleep when he appeared, with a strong smell of alcohol, familiarly and painfully wanting to take my arm and whispering something like "beautiful woman". Sickening.

As we enter the house, I shiver with cold and fear that my child may be taken from me. My grandparents have told me more than enough about how quickly the Germans are taking away Jewish children... Even if we're not in 1943...

B. takes Adrian out of my arms, the Baby wakes up, starts crying. I try to take it back, protest, and am pushed away with the words: "Wasn't it clear enough?"  
I have to wait outside... Adrian continues to scream... The door is locked from the inside, I don't go in anymore.

A few minutes later, I see the child's father walking toward the house.

He's calling, Mr. B. goes out, **pats the child's father on the shoulder in a friendly way**, and closes the door again before I can enter.

At this time, Adrian's soul breaks out of his body, I push against the door, ring, ring, and I am ignored.

An hour later, the German child's father comes out of the house, mocking me with "Well, you've got your rights! Cry!"

A few minutes later, Mr. B. comes with Adrian in his arms.

Adrian is still screaming with all his might, although his voice is already quite hoarse, he is red, his face is swollen, and in one hand he is holding a cookie given to speculation - it was probably tried to put in the mouth of a child who previously only drank breast milk to gag him and silence him.

I run to the Mr. B. to talk to him, but I only get: "the case is almost over, the child was so happy with his father, I will report this to the Youth Welfare Department."

I understand that the trap is closed.

If I don't let him deal with Adrian, my son will be taken away from me.

If I let him deal with his son, which was always a challenge for Adrian, false reports would be drawn up extolling the child's father.

Later, I am not allowed to see the greeting of the child's father and the mediator - I am not allowed to be near the house. So that I stay away from there, they

*at the time of the meeting with the intermediary locked up in the outhouse. When I defend myself, the phrase "take away the child" comes again and again.*

*How it went on? The Jewish mother was stereotypically "turned" from victim to perpetrator by the Youth Welfare Office. They say that she always lies, and the German child's father is a honest decent person who should be protected from her.*

*Women from the youth welfare Department were very happy to take on the role of protectors.*

*Although Adrian **came back abused and beaten again and again after these contacts**, which was also described to the youth office, the social partners, counselors and judges, they stereotypically stated that **"the father's rights weigh more than the will and integrity of the child."***

*Lawyers who committed party treason or were intimidated gave us the rest. I even had to organize people from the circle of family and friends for many years to bring Adrian to the child's father and take him away from him, because the youth welfare office "wanted it that way".*

*The so-called father kept making a joke of stopping Adrian's medically prescribed medication during contacts, as a result Adrian had three (!) severe middle ear infections in a row - he would have become almost hard of hearing. In order to torment his child and me in addition, during the severe middle ear infections in Winter/spring, the child's father took Adrian for a swim and let the child run around outside with damp hair and without a cap.*

*The reports of so much sadism to the youth welfare Department once again revealed a sneering "You have to learn to trust the child's father!" And-of course - " even if the child's father is convicted of intentional violence in the third instance, it does not mean that he is really guilty!" ("The lying Jew only attaches all this on the poor man").*

*On June 22, 2015, after the violence of the child's father, there was once again an accompanied contact with him, in which the child's father hypocritically expressed that he could no longer stand "it" and wanted to kill himself.*

***The consequence: the contact with the child's father was not broken off, no! But the child was taken away from the mother, depriving custody of him.***

*The judge, named S., who had heard from Adrian about 1-2 years ago that he had been beaten by his father, did not hesitate to take the child from his Jewish mother. On the contrary, when his mother begged him to see Adrian, he laughed at her and mocked her with "your own fault." With Jewish mothers, that's what happens.*

*It was even postponed by the Youth Welfare Department: **"You don't need to go to kindergarten, you no longer have a child!"**(Ms. V., there's an audio recording of statements)*

***After that, the mother was repeatedly intimidated, once it was said that she would return the child and custody of him, if she admits that she only invented violence by the child's father.***

*When she refused, it was said that she would get back child and custody if she granted the child's father all rights. One intimidation followed another.*

*Adrian came back to his mother at first, but was only allowed to be with his mother from 6 pm in the evening – so practically not at all. In the meantime, the child's father received extensive contacts.*

*The child's father also continued to beat his son – why not, he was not punished.*

*On the contrary, every time he tormented his child, the Jewish mother was hit on the head for daring to report the abuse.*

*The child's father laughed in the mother's face every time: "Nobody believes you anyway, you Jewish bitch".*

*How did it go on? The court-appointed pseudo-reviewer (Möhrle) in her statements about the Jewish mother relied solely on the mendacious claims of the child's father/criminal. So she declared him a victim. The mother, in the proven German tradition, became a criminal.*

*How does it work? With a Jewish mother, many things go through without punishment.*

*Adrian went to another orphanage, then to a foster family (when his mother complained about the state of the orphanage), then to another orphanage.*

*Another example of discrimination against a mother is judge E., DC of Minden. Inhumane behavior, coercion, intimidation ("if the appeal is not accepted, then there was no appeal at all"), falsehood, because after the withdrawal of the appeal was followed by a refusal to help.*

**The judge allowed the child's father to mock the Jewish mother and her large Jewish nose in the courtroom and insult with the words "Yes, such as she needs a carrot and stick."**

Everything that Adrian really enjoys, he must not get or keep from his mother, because he keeps the mother in positive memory. In particular, those things that his intelligence demands and that would develop it. On his mother's side, Adrian comes from a family of highly intelligent scientists (mother: mathematician, physicist; grandparents microbiologist, engineer)

Instead, is instructed to buy gifts and clothes (which always disappear and are never seen again) - i.e., what home/foster family would like to save, the mother should buy (although there is an order from the district youth welfare Department not to buy clothes any more). That is, the cost of living is criminally charged to the mother.

Adrian has a strict prohibition of speech and writing on the part of the youth welfare office/home, so that the truth about this criminal child exception and what happens to him during the placement do not come to light.

And so that the machinations do not become public, Adrian does not come back to his mother, although it is his most ardent desire.

Statements to Adrian in the first home: "if you keep crying and say you want to go back to your mother, you will never see her again" (witness).

In the second home: "You'll lose hope of ever coming home to your mother" (witness, in writing)."

Inhuman atmosphere when communicating - a completely cold conference room, only a table with chairs. In this way, the framework conditions of the accompanying contacts are made unbearable, with the aim that Adrian no longer wants this. Accompanied contact with his mother to keep Adrian in suspense.

Medical reports or school certificates are not passed on to the mother by the youth welfare Department. Files inspection is strictly prohibited according to the Youth Welfare Department.

The list of anti-Semitic crimes against the mother and her child can be expanded and continued endlessly...

How have the mother and the child endured this for so long?  
Yes, they ask themselves that every day anew.

Perhaps this is due to the passage from the Anne Frank's diary of 15.07.1944, who, like the Jewish mother described above, liked to keep a diary:

*"It's a wonder that I haven't given up all my expectations, because they seem absurd and unworkable. Nevertheless, I hold on to them, despite everything, because I still believe in the good in man."*

You wonder who the Jewish mother is? This is me.

With best regards

Helen Abrams